Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,227	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BJ Forman	1634	

All Participants:

(1) *BJ Forman*.

(2) *Juan Carlos Marquez*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) *Jennifer Teng*.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *9 February 2007*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
4-5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Amendments were discussed to overcome 112, 2nd ¶ issues, to more clearly define the invention, and to place the claims in condition for allowance. The amendments were agreed upon and are made by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)